# Influence of Executive Functioning on Memory for Contextual Details and False Recognition Leslie Rollins and Tracy Riggins University of Maryland, College Park



## Introduction

- Improvements in children's memory, particularly 3-6-years-olds stem from 1) increases in the ability to identify previously encountered items,
  - 2) increases in the ability to recall contextual details associated with these items (e.g., location; e.g., Drummey & Newcombe, 2002; Riggins et al., 2009),
  - 3) and decreases in false recognition of new items as previously encountered (i.e., committing false alarms, FA; e.g., Lindsay et al., 1991; Lloyd et al., 2009)

• Executive functioning, the ability to strategically plan and organize behavior, also shows significant development during early childhood (Diamond, 2006). Some components of executive functioning include: working memory, inhibition (conflict, delay), and cognitive flexibility.

- In developmental populations:
  - Working memory is related to recognition memory(Ruffman et al., 2001).
  - Conflict inhibition is related to memory for contextual details (Drummey & Newcombe, 2002; Cycowicz et al., 2001; Ruffman et al., 2001) and false recognition (Melinder et al., 2006; Roberts & Powell, 2005; Ruffman et al., 2001).
  - Cognitive flexibility is related to memory for contextual details (Picard et al., 2012).

• The aim of the current study was to examine how the core components of executive function were related to memory for contextual details and false recognition taking into account general intelligence.

## Methods

### **Participants**

• Data were collected from 31 3-year-old children (20 boys, mean age =  $3.3 \pm .15$  years, range = 3.05 - 3.57) and 25 6-year-old children (12 boys, mean age =  $6.23 \pm .16$  years, range = 6.00- 6.52). An additional 26 children were excluded because of failure to meet inclusion criteria (n = 6), incomplete behavioral data (n = 12), and noncompliance (n = 8).

### **Behavioral Assessments**

- Memory Paradigm (Sessions 1 & 2)
- General Intellectual Ability
  - WPPSI Block Design (Session 3)
  - WPPSI Receptive Vocabulary (Session 1)
- Executive Functioning(Session 3)
  - Working Memory: Forward Digit Span
  - **Conflict Inhibition:** Day/Night (Gerstadt et al., 1994; Passler et al., 1985)
  - **Delay Inhibition:** Delay of Gratification Task (Carlson, 2005)
  - **Cognitive Flexibility:** Dimensional Change Card Sort (DCCS; Zelazo, 2006)
- The Sessions 1 and 2 took place 1-2 days apart and Session 3 took place within a month of those sessions with most occurring within one week.
- Undergraduate research assistants coded actions performed by the children during Session 2, the Day/Night task, and the DCCS task.

## **Session 1**:

Memory Encoding •54 items

- 2 locations(Figure 1) • 3 actions
- Children required to imitate action
- Receptive Vocabulary



 Behavioral memory assessment • 57 previously viewed items and 27 novel

- distracters • Old/new
- Action
- Location



Memory Paradigm

### Results

### Table 1

Performance by 3- and 6-year-olds on episodic memory, general intellectual ability, and executive function measures.

	3-year-old chi	ldren (n = 31)	6-year-old children (n = 25)		MANOVA statistics	
	М	SE	Μ	SE	F	Р
Memory						
Item Recognition (%)	82.2	3.5	85.5	3.9	.41	.52
Contextual Details (%)	49.0	1.5	58.0	1.7	14.99	<.01**
False Recognition (%)	29.3	5.4	9.9	6.0	5.8	.02*
Intellectual Ability						
<b>Receptive Vocabulary</b>	22.48	.74	30.32	.82	50.03	<.01**
Block Design	16.36	.75	27.64	.83	102.47	<.01**
Executive Functioning						
Day/Night Task	7.32	.84	13.04	.93	20.78	<.01**
<b>Delay of Gratification</b>	.68	.08	.88	.08	3.26	.08
Digit Span	8.16	.54	12.52	.60	29.07	<.01**
DCCS	5.84	.91	14.64	1.01	41.71	< 01**

\* Significant at p < .05 \*\* Significant at p < .01

Table 2

Hierarchical multiple regression analysis predicting recognition memory using age, general intellectual ability, and executive functioning measures as predictors

Item Recognition 01 41 41	
Step 1. Age group $01$ $41$ $41$	
Step 2: General intellectual ability.02.46.51	
Step 3: Executive functioning.121.111.43	

\* Significant at p < .05



Day/Night Task

	R <sup>2</sup>	F	$\Delta F$	β	t
False Recognition					
Step 1: Age group	.10	5.80*	5.80*		
Step 2: General intellectual ability	.11	3.17*	.58		
Step 3: Executive functioning	.33	3.93*	3.96*		
Age group				.20	1.09
General intellectual ability				.05	.39
Forward Digit Span				12	76
Day/night				09	59
<b>Delay of Gratification</b>				08	67
DCCS				56	-3.06*
$\frac{1}{5}$ Significant at $n < 05$ DCCS = Dimensional change card sort					

• The present study is unique because we examined all four components of executive functioning(Cycowicz et al., 2001; Drummey & Newcombe, 2002; Picard et al., 2012; Ruffman et al., 2010). • These findings suggest that conflict inhibition is related to memory for contextual details and that cognitive flexibility is related to false recognition even when controlling for age and general intellectual ability. These findings are important because they 1) show that particular executive functioning abilities influence memory for contextual details and 2) highlight the importance of considering individual differences in cognitive abilities.

Thank you to the families that participated in this research study and to members of the Neurocognitive Development Lab for assistance with data collection and analysis. Support for this research was provided by the Department of Psychology at the University of Maryland, College Park and by NICHD R03HD067425 (PI: Riggins)

Carlson, S. M. (2005). Developmentally sensitive measures of executive function in preschool children. *Developmental neuropsychology*, 28(2), 595-616. Cycowicz, Y. M., Friedman, D., Snodgrass, J. G., & Duff, M. (2001). Recognition and source memory for pictures in children and adults. Neuropsychologia, 39, 255–267. doi:10.1016/S0028-3932(00)00108-1 Diamond, A. (2006). The early development of executive functions. In E. Bialystok & F. I. M. Craik (Eds.), Lifespan cognition: Mechanisms of change (pp. 70-95). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Drummey, A. B., & Newcombe, N. S. (2002). Developmental changes in source memory. *Developmental Science*, 5(4), 502-513. Gerstadt, C. L., Hong, Y. J., & Diamond, A. (1994). The relationship between cognition and action: Performance of children 3 1/2–7 years old on a Stroop-like day-night test. *Cognition*, 53, 129-153.

Lindsay, D. S., Johnson, M. K., & Kwon, P. (1991). Developmental changes in source monitoring. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 53(3), 297-318. Lloyd, M. E., Doydum, A. O., & Newcombe, N. S. (2009). Memory binding in early childhood: Evidence for a retrieval deficit. *Child Development*, 80(5), 1321-1328. Melinder, A., Endestad, T., & Magnussen, S. (2006). Relations between episodic memory, suggestibility, theory of mind, and conflict inhibition in the preschool child Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 47, 485-495. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9450.2006.00542.x Passler, P. A., Isaac, W., & Hynd, G. W. (1985). Neuropsychological development of behavior attributed to frontal lobe functioning in children. Developmental

Neuropsychology, 4, 349-370. Picard, L., Cousin, S. Guillery-Girard, B., Eustache, F., & Piolino, P. (2012). How do the different components of episodic memory develop? Role of executive functions and short-term feature binding abilities. Child Development, 83(3), 1037-1050. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2012.01736.x

Riggins, T., Miller, N. C., Bauer, P. J., Georgieff, M. K., & Nelson, C. A. (2009). Electrophysiological indices of memory for temporal order in early childhood: Implications for the development of recollection. *Developmental Science*, 12(2), 209-219.

Roberts, K. P., & Powell, M. B. (2005). The relation between inhibitory control and children's eyewitness testimony. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 19, 1003-1018. Ruffman, T., Rustin, C., Garnham, W., & Parkin, A. J. (2001). Source monitoring and false memories in children: Relation to certainty and executive functioning. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 80, 95-111. Zelazo, P. D. (2006). The dimensional change card sort (DCCS): A method of assessing executive function in children. *Nature Protocols*, 1(1), 297-301.



## Results

#### Table 3

Hierarchical multiple regression analysis predicting memory for contextual details using age, general intellectual ability, and executive functioning measures as predictors specifically for children who performed above chance on location (> 50%) and action (>33%) details (20 3-year-olds and 21 6-year-olds)

	R <sup>2</sup>	F	$\Delta F$	β	t
Details					
ge group	.22	14.99*	14.99*		
eneral intellectual ability	.23	7.70*	.53		
ecutive functioning	.26	2.85*	.55		
e group				.21	.88
neral intellectual ability				.14	.88
rward Digit Span				.08	.38
y/night				.46	2.35*
elay of Gratification				.11	.67
CCS				31	.38
e group neral intellectual ability rward Digit Span y/night lay of Gratification				.21 .14 .08 .46 .11 .31	.88 .88 .38 <b>2.35*</b> .67 .38

\* Significant at p < .05. DCCS = Dimensional change card sort

Table 4

Hierarchical multiple regression analysis predicting false recognition using age, general intellectual ability, and executive functioning measures as predictors

< 05. DCC5 – Dimensional change card sort

### Discussion

### Acknowledgements

## References